

NOT TO BE PUBLISHED

California Rules of Court, rule 977(a), prohibits courts and parties from citing or relying on opinions not certified for publication or ordered published, except as specified by rule 977(b). This opinion has not been certified for publication or ordered published for purposes of rule 977.

IN THE COURT OF APPEAL OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

THIRD APPELLATE DISTRICT

(Tehama)

THE PEOPLE,

Plaintiff and Respondent,

v.

LAWRENCE TWIFORD,

Defendant and Appellant.

C047822

(Super. Ct. No. NCR63209)

Defendant Lawrence Twiford pled guilty to rape by use of an intoxicant. (Pen. Code, § 261, subd. (a)(3).)¹ In exchange for his plea, eight additional counts were dismissed. The trial court sentenced defendant to the middle term of six years in state prison. The trial court also imposed a \$1,200 restitution fine (\$ 1202.4), a \$1,200 parole revocation fine (\$ 1202.45), a \$680 sex offender fine including penalty assessments (\$ 290.3), ordered defendant to supply samples pursuant to sections 296 and 1202.1 and to register as a sex offender (\$ 290), and awarded custody credit totaling 156 days.

¹ Undesignated statutory references are to the Penal Code.

Defendant appeals. He did not obtain a certificate of probable cause. (§ 1237.5.)

We appointed counsel to represent defendant on appeal. Counsel filed an opening brief that sets forth the facts of the case and requests this court to review the record and determine whether there are any arguable issues on appeal. (*People v. Wende* (1979) 25 Cal.3d 436.) Defendant was advised by counsel of the right to file a supplemental brief within 30 days of the date of filing of the opening brief. More than 30 days elapsed, and we received no communication from defendant. Having undertaken an examination of the entire record, we find no arguable error that would result in a disposition more favorable to defendant.

DISPOSITION

The judgment is affirmed.

SIMS, Acting P.J.

We concur:

BUTZ, J.

CANTIL-SAKAUYE, J.